

ENTERED

October 22, 2021

Nathan Ochsner, Clerk

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION

JAMES SCHORSCH,

Plaintiff,

VS.

ISAAC KWARTENG, *et al*,

Defendants.

§
§
§
§
§
§
§
§

CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:19-CV-323

**ORDER ADOPTING MEMORANDUM AND RECOMMENDATION
TO DENY PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTIVE RELIEF**


On September 17, 2021, United States Magistrate Judge Jason B. Libby issued his “Memorandum and Recommendation to Deny Plaintiff’s Motion for Preliminary Injunctive Relief” (M&R, D.E. 81). The parties were provided proper notice of, and opportunity to object to, the Magistrate Judge’s M&R. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b); 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); General Order No. 2002-13. No objections have been timely filed.

When no timely objection to a magistrate judge’s M&R is filed, the district court need only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record and accept the magistrate judge’s M&R. *Guillory v. PPG Industries, Inc.*, 434 F.3d 303, 308 (5th Cir. 2005) (citing *Douglass v. United Services Auto Ass’n*, 79 F.3d 1415, 1420 (5th Cir. 1996)).

Having reviewed the findings of fact and conclusions of law set forth in the Magistrate Judge’s M&R (D.E. 81), and all other relevant documents in the record, and finding no clear error, the Court **ADOPTS** as its own the findings and conclusions of the

Magistrate Judge. Accordingly, the motion for preliminary injunctive relief (D.E. 70) is **DENIED.**

ORDERED this 22nd day of October, 2021.



NELVA GONZALES RAMOS
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE